

The HARMONICS of HiAP Implementation

Patricia O'Campo PhD.
Scientist, Centre for Research on Inner City Health
Professor, Dalla Lana School of Public Health
April 2016



Since 1980s, most af

 Helsinki Statement

 Framework for Country Action



Promotion
Evaluation



World Conference on
Social Determinants of Health

RIO DE JANEIRO | BRAZIL | 19-21 OCTOBER 2011

Health in All Policies in South Australia: what has supported early implementation?

BAUM¹, JENNIE POPAY²,
ETH HARRIS⁴,


University of Adelaide, SA 5001, Australia,
³Poche Centre, Flinders University,
University of New South Wales,
Australia, and ⁶Institute for Health Equity,

List of facilitators/barriers

- oSupport by public
- oStrong leadership
- oLimited resources
- oSectors work in silos

Summary

Health in All Policies (HiAP) is a policy development approach that facilitates intersectoral action to addressing the social determinants of health and health equity whilst, at the same time, contributing to policy priorities across the various sectors of government. Given that different models of HiAP have been implemented in at least 16 countries, there is increasing interest in how its effectiveness can be optimized. Much of the existing literature on HiAP remains descriptive, however, and lacks critical, empirically informed analyses of the elements that support implementation. Furthermore, literature on HiAP, and intersectoral action more generally, provides little detail on the practical workings of policy collaborations. This paper contributes empirical findings from a multi-method study of HiAP implementation in South Australia (SA) between 2007 and 2013. It considers the views of public servants and presents analysis of elements that have supported, and impeded, implementation of HiAP in SA. We found that HiAP has been implemented in SA using a combination of interrelated elements. The operation of these elements has provided a context of facilitation which has supported the implementation of HiAP.






Complexity

HiAP Analysis using Realist Methods on International Case Studies



- Partnered research on Implementation of HiAP
- Multiple international explanatory case studies
- Methods informed by Realism, testing of theory & hypotheses
- Complexity & Systems theory

Research Team: Ketan Shankardass, Patricia O'Campo, Ahmed Bayoumi, Carles Muntaner, Lauri Kokkinen, Catharine Mah, Alix Freiler, Andrew Pinto, Emilie Renahy, Agnes Molnar, Joe Wong, Golda Oneka, Debra Finn, Faraz Shahidi, Sundus Haji Jama



Political elites have myriad ways to impact success through their influence

HYPOTHESES


Extra-Governmental Influences

Government

**Executive
Sub-system**

**Intersectoral
Sub-system**

**Intrasectoral
Sub-system**



Extra-Governmental Influences

Executive Sub-System

Political Elites

Political Ideology

Policy Agenda

Intersectoral Sub-System

HiAP Mandate

HiAP Funding

HiAP Management

Expert Advisors

Intrasectoral Sub-System

High Ranking Civ Serv

Sectoral Ideology

Sectoral Objectives

Sectoral Power

Prior ISA Experience

Workforce Capacity for ISA

Workforce HiAP Awareness


Community Interests & Power

Effect on outcome

Black = Neutral

Green = Positive

Red = Negative



Summary

- HiAP implementation is complex
- We need more information on what processes lead to successful implementation and a systems framework has been useful to unpack implementation
- Realism helps us to focus on theory and hypothesis testing
- Look out for our result in papers and other Sparking presentations